

UKSPF Sports Facility Fund Scoring System			Burton Road J
	Weighting	Max Score	Score
Criteria			
SOPM and PPOSS Interventions	20	5	5
SPF Interventions	20	5	5
Affordability/Value for Money	20	5	5
Gedling Plan 2023-27 Priorities	20	5	5
Match Funding	20	5	3
	100%	25	
Ranking			

Description	Criteria
The project is able to demonstrate a direct link between the proposed project and the strategic outcomes from the Strategic Outcomes Planning Model and Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sport Strategy.	SOPM and PPOS Interventions
The project is able to demonstrate a direct link between the proposed project and the Shared Prosperity Fund interventions (E10: Local sports facilities, tournaments and leagues and/or E14: Relevant feasibility studies) and to demonstrate how the outcomes will be met. See note below for outputs and outcomes.	SPF Interventions
The project demonstrates good value for money and would be good combination of cost, quality and sustainability to meet the needs of the community. Costs should include and detail ongoing maintenance costs and how these would be covered.	Affordability/Value for Money
The project is able to demonstrate a direct link between the proposed project and the strategic priorities identified in the 2023 - 2027 Gedling Plan.	Gedling Plan 2023-27 Priorities
The project details other revenue sources of Match Funding which have been secured or are in the process of being secured. Match funding is a key consideration when evaluating the suitability of projects to ensure that maximum value can be obtained through the UKSPF Sports Facility Fund.	Match Funding

SPF Outputs and outcomes

E10: Local sports facilities, tournaments and leagues

- Number of facilities supported/created
- Number of tournaments/leagues/teams supported
- Levels of participation in sports and recreational activities at facilities that have benefitted from funding (based non registered players/teams)

E14: Relevant feasibility studies

- Number of feasibility studies supported

Abilee Park improve ancillary facilities 2023/24 project		Lambley Lane North improved ancillary facilities 2023/24 project			Carlton Forum Squash 2023/24 project	
Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score
20	listed as a high priority project in the PPOSS	5	20	listed as a high priority project in the PPOSS	5	20
20	E10 outputs met	5	20	E10 outputs met	5	20
20	A good value project, enabling the community to engage in activity on the site, future maintenance budget identified by GBC.	4	16	Total project costs are high and continue to increase. Project outcomes will provide long term opportunities for sports clubs.	5	20
20	Health & Wellbeing, pride of place	5	20	Health & Wellbeing, pride of place	5	20
12.00	less than 10% match funding secured	5	20.00	over 50% match funding secured.	5	20.00
92.00			96.00			100.00
6			3			1

Assessment	Score	Interpretation
Excellent	5	Exceeds the requirement Exceptional demonstration project will clearly meet the aims/object potential added value, with substantial supporting evidence.
Good	4	Satisfies the requirement with minor additional benefits Above average demonstration the relevant project will clearly meet offer potential added value with supporting evidence.
Acceptable	3	Satisfies the requirement Demonstration that the relevant project will clearly meet the aims/c
Minor Reservations	2	Satisfies the requirement with minor reservations Some minor reservations of the project relevant suitability to meet t submission.
Serious Reservations	1	Satisfies the requirement with major reservations Considerable reservations of the project relevant suitability to meet submission.
Unacceptable	0	Does not meet the requirement Does not comply and/or insufficient information provided to demon with little or no evidence to support the submission.

in Courts ct	Protect all indoor facilities: Arnold Leisure Centre Plant Room Improvements			Melish Rugby Club - Floodlight project		
Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments
listed as a high priority project in the BFS	5	20	listed as high priority project in the BFS	5	20	listed as high priority in the PPS
E10 outputs met	5	20	E10 outputs met	5	20	E10 outputs met
A good value project, providing space for more residents to participate in physical activity. Future maintenance budget by GBC identified.	5	20	A good value project providing long term opportunities to take part in swimming activity. Future maintenance budget by GBC identified.	5	20	A good value project, increasing training and match provision on site
Health & Wellbeing	5	20	Health & Wellbeing, children and young people	5	20	Health & Wellbeing, pride of place
over 50% match funding secured.	3	12	less than 10% match funding secured	4	16.00	less than 10% match funding secured
		92.00			96.00	
		6			3	

ives of the relevant criteria. Submission identifies several factors that will offer

the aims/objectives of the relevant criteria. Submission identifies factors that will

objectives of the relevant criteria.

the aims/objectives of the relevant criteria with little or no evidence to support

the aims/objectives of the relevant criteria with little or no evidence to support

strate that the nomination would meet the aims/objectives of the relevant criteria

Paviors Rugby Club - Borehole and Floodlight project			Calverton Miners Welfare - 3G pitch project				
Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score

5	20	listed as high priority in the PPS	5	20	listed as high priority in PPS and LFFP		0
5	20	E10 outputs met	5	20	E10 outputs met		0
5	20	A good value project, enabling the club to be more self sustainable and increases training provision on the site	5	20	A large project, which will be supported by significant Football Foundation investment. The project will enhance training and playing provision.		0
5	20	Health & Wellbeing, pride of place	5	20	Health & Wellbeing, pride of place		
4	16.00	less than 10% match funding secured	5	20.00	match funding secured through the club and FF contributions		0.00
	96.00			100.00			0.00
	3			1			8

Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score

		0			0			0
		0			0			0
		0			0			0
		0.00			0.00			0.00
		0.00			0.00			0.00
		8			8			8

Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score

		0			0			0
		0			0			0
		0			0			0
		0.00			0.00			0.00
		0.00			0.00			0.00
		8			8			8

Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments	Score	Weighted Score	Comments

		0			0	
		0			0	
		0			0	
		0.00			0.00	
		0.00			0.00	
		8			8	